Excluding Severe Bacterial Infection in Neutrophilic Dermatoses with Systemic Manifestations: Negative Predictive Value of Procalcitonin

Dear Editor,

Extensive neutrophilic activation and infiltration occur in a number of dermatological conditions, resulting in typical features of pyrexia, neutrophilia, and raised erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) and C-reactive protein (CRP). These conditions are namely generalised pustular psoriasis, acute generalised exanthemeust pusulosis (AGEP) and Sweet syndrome, and they are termed as neutrophilic dermatoses with systemic manifestations. Their presentation is similar to that in patients with severe bacterial infections, such as severe pneumonia, peritonitis, meningitis, pyelonephritis and sepsis.

Early differentiation between neutrophilic dermatoses with systemic manifestations and severe bacterial infection is important due to the opposing treatment approaches. Whilst patients who have neutrophilic dermatoses with systemic manifestations require immunosuppressive therapy, patients with severe bacterial infections require antibiotic therapy, and the use of immunosuppressive therapy should be avoided.

In the last decade, extensive research has been undertaken to study the diagnostic value of procalcitonin in bacterial infections. The primary objective of this study is to evaluate the predictive value of procalcitonin for the presence of severe bacterial infections in patients who have neutrophilic dermatoses with systemic manifestations. If the procalcitonin levels in these patients are not elevated, clinicians can better decide if they can be commenced promptly on immunosuppressant for their underlying skin condition. The secondary objective is to evaluate if procalcitonin levels differ between generalised pustular psoriasis and AGEP, as it is clinically and histologically difficult to distinguish these 2 conditions.

Materials and Methods

Inpatients with a clinical diagnosis of generalised pustular psoriasis, AGEP or Sweet syndrome who were referred to the dermatology service in a tertiary hospital in Singapore, and who had their data recorded between May 2012 and April 2015, were evaluated retrospectively. Their diagnoses were made clinically and some patients had histopathological correlation. Severe bacterial infection was defined as either severe pneumonia resulting in shock or requiring mechanical ventilation, peritonitis, meningitis or bacteraemia. Bacteraemia was determined by a positive blood culture; cultures growing only coagulase-negative \textit{staphylococci}—a typical contaminant during blood culture collection—were excluded. Patients with lower urinary tract infections or skin infections such as cellulitis were not classified as having a severe bacterial infection as physicians or dermatologists do not usually hesitate to commence immunosuppressant concurrently with antibiotic treatment in this group of patients and they do not usually constitute the clinical conundrum dermatologists face. Patients who did not have their procalcitonin levels measured during their hospitalisation were excluded from the study. Serum procalcitonin were measured using Roche Diagnostics’ Roche Cobas e601, which utilises electrochemiluminescent immunoassay technology with a lower detection limit of 0.03 ug/L and a functional sensitivity of <0.06 ug/L. A procalcitonin level of >0.5 ug/L was considered as elevated in keeping with previous investigations on the use of procalcitonin in sepsis.

Our study was a retrospective review and most patients were referred to the dermatology department. As such, there were no stipulated guidelines for the physicians-in-charge on when to measure the patients’ procalcitonin level and the frequency of its measurement. Procalcitonin was frequently measured based on the clinicians’ individual practice and it was observed to be measured at different intervals over the course of the patients’ hospitalisation, subjected to the primary physicians’ choice. We then analysed the data based on the highest procalcitonin level measured during the patients’ hospitalisation.

Mann-Whitney U test was used to evaluate the statistical difference between procalcitonin levels in the various diseases. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was done to evaluate serum procalcitonin while CRP test was used to diagnose severe bacterial infections among patients with neutrophilic dermatoses. The ROC curve illustrates the diagnostic ability of whether the patient has severe bacterial infections.

In conjunction with the ROC analysis, Youden index was used to determine the threshold value for which procalcitonin optimally differentiates patients with and without a concurrent severe bacterial infection. The maximum value
of the index was used to select the optimum cutoff point of
the procalcitonin test, which was 1.3 ug/L. The study was
approved by the institution’s ethics review board.

**Results**

There were a total of 59 patients (26 males and 33
females) who were diagnosed with neutrophilic dermatoses
with systemic manifestations but only 41 patients had their
procalcitonin measured during hospitalisation. The mean
age of our patients was 60.0 + 20.9 years and none of them
were pregnant.

Thirty-six had generalised pustular psoriasis, 16 had
AGEP and 7 had Sweet syndrome. There were 7 patients
with severe bacterial infections—4 had bacteraemia, 2
had severe pneumonia and 1 had multiple intra-abdominal
collections. Of the 7 patients who had severe bacterial
infections, all of them had a procalcitonin value of >0.5
ug/L (mean, 16.40; range, 1.33 to 47.09) (Fig. 1). Four of
these 7 patients died, 3 of whom had a procalcitonin level
of >7 ug/L.

Thirty-four patients who had neutrophilic dermatoses
with systemic manifestations did not have severe bacterial
infections (Fig. 2). A procalcitonin cutoff level of >0.5 ug/L
has 100% sensitivity and 67.6% specificity in predicting
concurrent severe bacterial infections in patients who have
neutrophilic dermatoses with systemic manifestations
(Table 1).

ROC analysis of serum procalcitonin levels demonstrated
a good value at 0.912 ($P = 0.001$; 95% CI, 0.822 to 0.100)
(Fig. 3). This indicated that in almost 91.2% of all possible
pairs of subjects—in which one was the case (had a severe
bacterial infection) and the other a control (did not have
a severe bacterial infection)—serum procalcitonin would
assign a higher probability to the former. In contrast,
the ROC for serum CRP was fair with a value of 0.660.
This meant that CRP, as a marker to differentiate severe
bacterial infections among patients who have neutrophilic
dermatoses with systemic manifestations, only performed
16.0% better than chance.

**Table 1. Sensitivity and Specificity of Using a Cutoff Value of 0.5 Ug/L and 1.3 Ug/L for Procalcitonin in Distinguishing Severe Bacterial Infections in
Patients who Have Neutrophilic Dermatoses with Systemic Manifestation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Patients with Significant Bacterial Infection (Procalcitonin &gt;0.5 ug/L) ($n = 41$)</th>
<th>Patients with Significant Bacterial Infection (Procalcitonin &gt;1.3 ug/L) ($n = 41$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raised procalcitonin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>0.676</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative predictive value</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive predictive value</td>
<td>0.389</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. This diagram shows the procalcitonin values in patients who have
neutrophilic dermatoses with systemic manifestations according to the
absence and presence of severe bacterial infection. The data is depicted as
box-plot diagrams, with the box encompassing the range of values from the
25% percentile (lower bar) to the 75% percentile (upper bar). The horizontal
line within the box represents the median and the vertical lines below the box
signify the maximum and minimum values.

Fig. 2. Flowchart of patients with neutrophilic dermatoses with systemic
manifestations.
With a Youden index of 0.794, a serum procalcitonin cutoff level of 1.3 ug/L gives 100% sensitivity and 79.4% specificity in predicting concurrent severe bacterial infections in patients who have neutrophilic dermatoses with systemic manifestations. This suggests that a higher cutoff value of serum procalcitonin performed better in identifying severe bacterial infections among patients with neutrophilic dermatoses with systemic manifestations.

Using the Mann-Whitney U test, there was no significant difference between procalcitonin in patients with generalised pustular psoriasis and AGEP.

Discussion

Procalcitonin is a 116-amino acid precursor of calcitonin. In healthy subjects, the thyroid C cells are the only tissue which transcribe and translate the procalcitonin gene. Only a small amount of procalcitonin is released into the general circulation such that in healthy individuals, procalcitonin concentration is $<$0.05ug/L. In the presence of bacterial infection, however, procalcitonin production is activated in all parenchymal tissues and concentrations rapidly increase.\(^8\) Procalcitonin production caused by these tissues is stimulated by 2 mechanisms—directly by bacterial endotoxins and lipopolysaccharides, and indirectly by inflammatory mediators, namely tumour necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-alpha), interleukin-6 and interleukin-1. During infections associated with marked TNF-alpha release, such as Gram-negative infections and malaria, marked procalcitonin elevation is seen.\(^9\) Because procalcitonin level is attenuated by interferon-gamma (a cytokine released in response to viral infections), procalcitonin is a more specific marker of bacterial infections. It is mainly degraded by proteolysis, and to a lesser extent, excretion through the kidneys.\(^10\)

It is important to differentiate patients who have a flare of solely neutrophilic dermatoses with systemic manifestations from those who have concurrent severe bacterial infections. In sepsis, the traditional gold standard has been blood culture, but this method lacks sensitivity as causative microorganisms is detected only in 30% to 50% of patients with suspected bloodstream infection.\(^11\)

It was previously found that a procalcitonin level of $<$0.5 ng/L had a high negative predictive value for the exclusion of sepsis while high levels ($>$2 ng/L) indicated severe sepsis or septic shock in patients admitted to the general medicine team or medical intensive care unit.\(^12\) In our study, a procalcitonin cutoff of 1.3 ug/L conferred an excellent sensitivity and negative predictive value for concurrent severe bacterial infections in patients who had neutrophilic dermatoses with systemic manifestations. In all 27 patients who had a procalcitonin value of $<$1.3 ug/L, none of them were diagnosed with a severe bacterial infection. This, to our knowledge, is the first study investigating the utility of procalcitonin in predicting concurrent severe bacterial infections in patients who had neutrophilic dermatoses with systemic manifestations.

The results of our study indicated that procalcitonin could be useful in ruling out a concurrent severe bacterial infection in patients who had neutrophilic dermatoses with systemic manifestations. Out of 23 patients with non-elevated procalcitonin level, 22 received systemic antibiotics. In such cases, the focus and approach of management would be on reducing uncontrolled activation of the innate immunity rather than to treat infection, in which more aggressive anti-inflammatory agents can be confidently used rather than stronger antibiotics. In addition, procalcitonin is a relatively quicker and minimally invasive test compared to other tests such as blood culture and radiography that can be used as a tool for ruling out infections. Procalcitonin results could be confirmed in less than a day and a negative result could mean that immunosuppressive therapy would be started much faster rather than having to wait for more traditional tests such as blood cultures to be reported.

In our data, out of 7 patients who were diagnosed with Sweet syndrome, only 5 had their serum procalcitonin levels measured. In these 5 patients, only 1 patient had concomitant myelodysplastic syndrome and myelofibrosis. None of the patients with Sweet syndrome in our study had associated ulcerative colitis, Crohn’s disease, rheumatoid arthritis or lupus erythematosus. In our literature review,
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serum procalcitonin has been found in previous studies to be a promising marker in haematological malignancy, inflammatory bowel disease and autoimmune diseases to aid in the differentiation between bacterial infections and inflammatory flares. In these studies, serum procalcitonin was measured using instruments from different manufacturers with different lower detection limits. Thus, comparison of quantitative serum procalcitonin levels between studies may be difficult. Serum procalcitonin levels are not significantly different between pregnant women and healthy controls.

There are several limitations of our study. Firstly, our study sample size was small, which would limit the generalisability of the ROC analysis to the general population. Secondly, the number of patients who had a concurrent diagnosis of severe bacterial infection was markedly lesser than the number of patients who did not have a severe bacterial infection. Thirdly, in our study, we only included patients with generalised pustular psoriasis, AGEP and Sweet syndrome as they shared a similarity of systemic neutrophilic activation with the main manifestation in the skin. However, these 3 conditions have different pathogenetic mechanisms of neutrophilic activation and are not a uniform entity. We believe larger future prospective studies will be useful to validate our findings and study the outcomes of early implementation of immunosuppressive therapy guided by procalcitonin levels or trends.

Conclusion

The study results indicate that in patients with neutrophilic dermatoses with systemic manifestations with a procalcitonin value of <1.3 ug/L, it is very unlikely that their clinical manifestations of systemic inflammation are due to a severe bacterial infection. In such instances, immunosuppressant therapies, which are typically withheld for fear of causing fulminant sepsis, can be reasonably instituted early to treat their diseases.
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