Helping People with Mental Illness Return to Employment: Challenging Existing Beliefs

Daniel Poremski, ¹PhD, Siow Ann Chong, ¹MMed (Psychiatry), Mythily Subramaniam, ¹MHSM

Sigmund Freud, the father of psychoanalysis, was asked once what makes people happy. His reply was, "love and work." Employment does contribute many benefits to our lives. In addition to providing financial independence and security, it contributes to our sense of community, belonging and identity. Unemployment, on the other hand, contributes to isolation, stress and financial vulnerability. These elements are much the same if someone has a mental illness.

However, people with mental illness are at a significant disadvantage when it comes to accessing employment. Stigmatisation and discrimination of people with mental illness is common and this extends to employment. ^{2,3} Studies have shown that employed people with mental illness may worry about being discriminated against in the workplace and therefore forego seeking help⁴ despite the toll it takes in absenteeism and presenteeism. ⁵ This is an unfortunate situation, especially since employment has been shown to contribute to the recovery of people with mental illness by giving them meaningful activities, a renewed sense of purpose, and increased social inclusion and reintegration. ⁶ This is true even in people with a chronic and serious mental illness like schizophrenia. ⁷

People with mental illness recognise the benefits of employment; indeed research has shown that a desire for personal growth and avoiding idleness, in addition to financial gain, motivate people with mental illness to seek employment.⁸ While no local data is available to determine what proportion of unemployed people with mental illness would like to return to competitive work, estimates from Western countries vary between 55% and 78%.^{9,10} The level of desire for employment varies greatly from study to study because of unique local contexts, such as the availability of unemployment benefits and social supports.⁹

Additionally, helping people with mental illness to reintegrate into the competitive job market and making available the associated supports necessary to sustain their employment have been shown to reduce stigma in the workplace, 11,12 which will likely contribute to a reduction in societal stigma. Therefore, finding the impetus to hire

people with employment will likely simultaneously help reduce workplace stigma and increase the material and psychological quality of life of people with mental illness.

Mental Illness and Unemployment in Singapore

The growing attention which mental illness has received has led to several important developments to ascertain the degree to which the country is grappling with mental illness. The Singapore Mental Health Study (SMHS) of 2010¹³ has clearly shown that mental illness is common in the local population. Obsessive compulsive disorder and alcohol abuse afflict 1 in 32 and 1 in 33 Singaporeans, respectively, while 1 in 17 people in Singapore experience depression sometime in their life. Attes of these mental illnesses in those that are employed are similar. It is imperative for Singapore's health institutions to effectively handle this growing segment of the population since the number of cases detected and the number of people seeking treatment for mental illness will likely increase as a result of increased social and institutional awareness.

The rate of unemployment in Singapore is among the lowest in the world, which was 2% in 2014. In comparison, unemployment rates for developed countries range from 4% to 12%.16 Additionally, Singapore ranks second on labour market efficiency in the World Economic Forum 2014 to 2015 Global Competitiveness Report, suggesting that its use of labour is, relatively speaking, efficient and well above the global average. 16 However, data on employment rates of people with mental illness in Singapore is scarce. The SMHS revealed that the rate of unemployment among those with certain mental illnesses was 11.1% which was significantly higher than the 6.7% rate of unemployment in those without mental illness. The data also show that the rate of mental illness in people who are unemployed is twice as high as compared to those who are employed (5.3% vs 2.3%).4

However, the SMHS was a cross-sectional study and hence the association between unemployment and mental illness cannot be causally determined, but 3 possibilities exist, each

¹Research Division, Institute of Mental Health, Singapore
Address for Correspondence: Daniel Poremski, Research Division, Institute of Mental Health, 10 Buangkok View, Singapore 539747.
Email: Daniel_poremski@imh.com.sg

necessitating action: 1) either people with mental illness are not able to find work in the current economic climate of Singapore, in which case they need policies to help the system change to accommodate their needs, or, 2) people who are unemployed may be more prone to mental illness, suggesting that remaining unemployed is contributing to the burden of disease in Singapore. Consequently, there ought to be return-to-work programmes to help these people reenter the labour force. Finally, 3) the relationship between unemployment and mental illness may be bidirectional, contributing to each other in a cyclical way. In this situation, interventions are needed to break the cycle, help people return to work, reduce the burden of unemployment and reduce the impact of mental illness. Regardless of the association, action is necessary to help people achieve higher standards of living.

Barriers to Employment

The employment of people with mental illness depends on the opinions and actions of several stakeholders in our society. Perceptions of employers have been documented as important barriers to employment. Several studies have looked at the opinion of employers both in Western and Asian countries.^{2,3,17} In a progressive European country, employers have shown reticence to hiring people with mental illness. Discrete choice experiments in which scenarios were presented to Swiss employers along with forced choice instructions revealed a reluctance to hire people with mental illness despite the generous support structures that reduce the financial burden of hiring someone with mental illness on employers in Switzerland.³ Surveys of employers in Hong Kong and Beijing have highlighted employers' reluctance to hire people who have disorders that are perceived to be behaviourally-driven (diseases in which the afflicted may be seen to have some degree of control, depression, and alcoholism) compared to people with disorders that may be out of their control, like bone cancer. ¹⁷ This suggests that these employers may discriminate people who are diagnosed with these disorders.

While employers have a central role in deciding whether to hire people with mental illness, coworkers may play a vital role in helping people with mental illness sustain their position in the workplace. However, people may be unreceptive to a coworker's honesty in disclosing the presence of a mental illness. ^{11,18} When people with mental illness tell their coworkers, or when their coworkers inadvertently discover that they have a mental illness, the reactions are not necessarily supportive. Often stigma is the response. ¹¹ This may make sustaining employment problematic, and may lead to an internalising of stigmatising beliefs. ¹⁸This in turn reduces the individual's self-esteem and self-efficacy, and diminishes his motivation to apply to other

jobs. This may explain why treatment-seeking behaviours are low for employed people with mental illness. ^{4,5}

Service providers have supporting roles and may also be instrumental in the development of a person's desire for employment. For example, case managers' perceptions of their clients' readiness to work influenced their pursuit of employment, leading some authors to conclude that "addressing staff negativity with regard to the ability of consumers to work may lead to consumers pursuit of employment". It is therefore imperative that clinicians and service providers avoid imposing their own bias onto their service users and actively include employment in their care plans. ²⁰

These various financial preferential and personal aspects impact the probability of an employer's willingness to hire someone with mental illness.² Since all these factors may play a role in Singapore's unique system, locally developed knowledge is crucial.

Potential Solutions

The most effective form of intervention which helps people with mental illness return to employment is evidencebased supported employment, also known as individual placement and support (IPS). This intervention can trace its roots to developments in the 1980s when Wehman and Moon (1988) detailed a "place-train" approach that was intended build on previous models of care but also challenge the entrenched belief that persons with disabilities were unemployable.²¹ By placing people into competitive jobs, service providers accomplished several objectives. The placement, as chosen by the individual, is in a field of the service-user's interest, thereby increasing motivation. The elimination of lengthy pre-employment training also capitalises on initial motivation, reducing the likelihood of attrition due to dissatisfaction with irrelevant activities. By providing the support and training after the placement, service providers could better tailor their support to develop the skills required by the person's job. When service users agreed to disclose that they were receiving assistance from a third party (it is not necessary to always disclose all the information),11 employment specialists could also provide support to employers, thereby increasing understanding and reducing stigma in the workplace.¹⁸ These components also increase employment stability.

The IPS intervention focuses on 8 principles of practice: 1) focus on competitive employment, rather than sheltered employment or social enterprises, 2) focus on individual preferences for job placement, 3) rapid job search without prevocational training, 4) integration with the mental health care team, 5) zero exclusion criterion, 6) benefits counselling, 7) systematic job development with local

community partners, and 8) continued time-unlimited individualised support. By adhering to these principles and achieving a high fidelity, programmes have consistently produced rates of competitive employment between 30% and 55%.²⁰

Since its inception, IPS has been applied to several contexts in several countries20 including Hong Kong and Japan. 22-24 These studies provide encouraging evidence for the potential generalisability of these supported employment services in Singapore. In Hong Kong, an IPS programme implemented to serve people with psychotic disorders, major depressive disorder, bipolar disorder or borderline personality disorder reached rates of employment of 61% (n = 65) after 38 months compared to 6% in the group receiving traditional vocational rehabilitation (n = 66).²³ This research has also suggested that the addition of social skills training to IPS may increase the rate of employment to 83%, $n = 58.^{23}$ In Japan, a smaller programme looking at a group of people with schizophrenia and mood disorders (n = 19) has reported rates of employment of 44% compared to 11% in traditional services (n = 18).²² These studies have also showed that the length of job tenure is also superior in IPS programmes compared to usual services by a multiple of 1.3 to 3.5, ranging from 7 weeks (of 6 months)²² to 47 weeks (of 39 months).²³

Despite the large body of literature that supports the importance of employment in facilitating recovery, improving quality of life, and reducing financial dependence, to date, no programme of research has sought to thoroughly study the merits of supported-employment programmes, the desire for employment among people with mental illness in Singapore, or the perception and attitudes of key stakeholders like employers and coworkers towards employing and working alongside people with mental illness. Given the body of evidence from other countries, the application of efforts to answer these crucial questions is long overdue.

REFERENCES

- Evans J, Repper J. Employment, social inclusion and mental health. J Psychiatr Ment Health Nurs 2000;7:15-24.
- Tsang HW, Angell B, Corrigan PW, Lee YT, Shi K, Lam CS, et al. A cross-cultural study of employers' concerns about hiring people with psychotic disorder: implications for recovery. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 2007:42:723-33.
- Deuchert E, Kauer L, Meisen Zannol F. Would you train me with my mental illness? Evidence from a discrete choice experiment. J Ment Health Policy Econ 2013;16:67-80.

- 4. Chong SA, Vaingankar JA, Abdin E, Subramaniam M. Mental disorders: employment and work productivity in Singapore. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 2013;48:117-23.
- Vaingankar J, Subramaniam M, Chong SA, He VY, Abdin E, Picco L, et al. Prevalence of chronic mental and physical disorders, impact on work productivity and correlates of alcohol use disorders and nicotine dependence across occupations. Ann Acad Med Singapore 2015;44:133-44.
- Boyce M, Secker J, Johnson R, Floyd M, Grove B, Schneider J, et al. Mental health service users' experiences of returning to paid employment. Disability & Society 2007;23:77-88.
- Eklund M. Work status, daily activities and quality of life among people with severe mental illness. Qual Life Res 2009;18:163-70.
- Brantschen E, Kawohl W, Rössler W, Bärtsch B, Nordt C. Supported employment–improving competitive employment for people with mental illness: the role of motivation and social network. Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation 2014;40:41-7.
- McQuilken M, Zahniser JH, Novak J, Starks RD, Olmos A, Bond GR. The work project survey: consumer perspectives on work. Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation 2003;18:59-68.
- Ramsay CE, Broussard B, Goulding SM, Cristofaro S, Hall D, Kaslow NJ, et al. Life and treatment goals of individuals hospitalized for firstepisode nonaffective psychosis. Psychiatry Res 2011;189:344-8.
- 11. Jones AM. Disclosure of mental illness in the workplace: a literature review. American Journal of Psychiatric Rehabilitation 2011;14:212-29.
- Perkins DV, Raines JA, Tschopp MK, Warner TC. Gainful employment reduces stigmatoward people recovering from schizophrenia. Community Ment Health J 2009;45:158-62.
- 13. Subramaniam M, Vaingankar J, Heng D, Kwok KW, Lim YW, Yap M, et al. The Singapore Mental Health Study: an overview of the methodology. Int J Methods Psychiatr Res 2012;21:149-57.
- Chong SA, Abdin E, Vaingankar JA, Heng D, Sherbourne C, Yap M, et al. A population-based survey of mental disorders in Singapore. Ann Acad Med Singapore 2012;41:49-66.
- Larkin GL, Beautrais AL, Spirito A, Kirrane BM, Lippmann MJ, Milzman DP. Mental health and emergency medicine: a research agenda. Acad Emerg Med 2009;16:1110-9.
- World Economic Forum. The Global Competitiveness Report 2014-2015, 2014. Available at: http://www.weforum.org/reports?filter[type]=Competitiveness. Accessed on 20 May 2015.
- Corrigan PW, Tsang HW, Shi K, Lam CS, Larson J. Chinese and American employers' perspectives regarding hiring people with behaviorally driven health conditions: the role of stigma. Soc Sci Med 2010;71:2162-9.
- 18. Krupa T, Kirsh, B, Cockburn L, Gewurtz R. Understanding the stigma of mental illness in employment. Work 2009;33:413-25.
- Goscha R, Kondrat DC, Manthey TJ. Case managers' perceptions of consumer work readiness and association with pursuit of employment. Psychiatr Serv 2013;64:1267-9.
- Bond GR, Drake RE. Making the case for IPS supported employment.
 Adm Policy Ment Health 2014;41:69-73.
- Wehman P, Moon MS. Vocational rehabilitation and supported employment. Baltimore: Paul Brookes; 1988. p. 1-372.
- Oshima I, Sono T, Bond GR, Nishio M, Ito J. A randomized controlled trial of individual placement and support in Japan. Psychiatr Rehabil J 2014;37:137-43.
- Tsang HWH, Fung KM, Leung AY, Li SM, Cheung WM. Three year follow-up study of an integrated supported employment for individuals with severe mental illness. Aust N Z J Psychiatry 2010;44:49-58.
- Wong KK, Chiu LP, Tang SW, Kan HK, Kong CL, Chu HW, et al. A supported employment program for people with mental illness in Hong Kong. American Journal of Psychiatric Rehabilitation 2004;7:83-96.